

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fl	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/904,056 07/31/1997		7/31/1997	TODD D. LINDSEY	450.156US1 3259	
32710	7590	11/17/2006		EXAMINER	
Stites & Ha		LC	KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K		
TransPotoma		eet, Suite 900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria,			2629		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
08/904,056	LINDSEY, TODD D.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2629		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evider or compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropring riginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CER 41 37 must h	e filed within two montl	he of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		OTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• *	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	ut hoforo or on the date of filing a	Notice of Annual will me	nt ha amtawad
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	,	~
13. Other:	L	umati hu	flour
		SIIMATI I FEKOW	V

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues where the reference of Schultheiss does not disclose a mouse for use with a computer. Examiner, respectfully, disagrees. Schultheiss discloses a remote controller which is used with a computer which can be interchangable with a mouse. Applicant further argues, where the remote control of Schultheiss is held in a hand, whereas the applicant's invention requires a flat surface for operation. Applicant claims a surface, but does not specify wherein the surface must be flat, therefore, in the broadest context of the claim, a surface can be a hand. Therefore, the combination of the cited references teach the limitations set forth by the instant application.